Introduction

- Physicians spend more time with the electronic medical record than they do at the bedside, leading to a decline in physical exam skills.
- There are fewer practitioners with the necessary skills and confidence to teach the physical exam to trainees.
- There is no standardized curriculum to teach physical exam skills to U.S. residents.
- There are limited tools to assess physical exam skill.
- Direct observation, while valuable, does not routinely occur and is qualitative and subjective.
- Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs) provide some measure of exam technique, but do not directly assess accuracy in the context of real patients.
- We adapted the framework of the MRCP Practical Assessment of Clinical Examination Skills (MRCP-PACES) to create a novel assessment and teaching activity for U.S. graduate medical trainees.

Materials & Methods

- Internal medicine interns from the Johns Hopkins Hospital were invited to participate in the modified PACES activity.
- Interns rotated through one cardiovascular and one pulmonary station.
- At each station, two faculty preceptors examined a patient and agreed on the physical findings that were present before the start of the assessment.
- Interns were given 6 minutes to examine the patient and 4 minutes to present their findings to the faculty, and answer questions about differential diagnosis and management.
- Interns were assessed in five areas using a 3-point scale: exam technique, identification of physical signs, differential diagnosis, clinical judgment, and maintaining patient welfare.
- Inter-rater reliability was calculated for each station.
- Faculty provided 5-10 minutes of feedback and bedside teaching in real time.
- Scores were compared between those who had rotated through a dedicated clinical skills curriculum (Intervention) and those who had not received the curriculum (No Intervention).
- Scores were compared to performance on the Blaufuss Multimedia Cardiovascular Exam, a validated online cardiovascular skills assessment.

Results

- Inter-rater reliability was high, suggesting that this methodology is a valid way to assess physical exam performance.
- There was no correlation between performance on the PACES cardiac station and an online Cardiovascular Exam, suggesting a possible disconnect between virtual and live patient assessment.
- This combination of assessment and education could form the basis of a Maintenance of Certification (MOC) activity, and is the subject of a project in the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) Visiting Scholars Program.

Conclusions

- This pilot study demonstrates the feasibility of conducting a PACES-style assessment for U.S. trainees.
- Inter-rater reliability was high, suggesting that this methodology is a valid way to assess physical exam performance.
- There was no correlation between performance on the PACES cardiac station and an online Cardiovascular Exam, suggesting a possible disconnect between virtual and live patient assessment.
- The direct feedback and education delivered by the faculty preceptors was appreciated by both trainees and patients.
- This combination of assessment and education could form the basis of a Maintenance of Certification (MOC) activity, and is the subject of a project in the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) Visiting Scholars Program.
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